P.F. 60796.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1895-96
~~192~~
(L.R)

File No. 130 of 95

Serial Nos. 1 to 13.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1 to 13.

Part B for May 1897.

SUBJECT.

Cancellation of lands alleged to be held by non-Israelites in Mavalikara village, Alappuzha Taluk

WD 2233 GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(8) (10)

Office-note

Review-
Petition no 645-2 1896-97.

Petr — H Subba Rao
Arkpet Bangalore

Subject — Prayer for cancellation of lands alleged to be held ondapakar tenure

Petr's first petn on the subject was disposed of with the endorsement that "as the writtes are reported to be enfranchised, Govt decline to interfere with a private sale effected by the proprietors" (Serial no 3)

Petr prayed for review on the ground that the Inams are Agrahar grants as explained in Govt order no 5789-90 & 7/11/80 R 885.
and that under that order alienation to non-brahmins is opposed to the conditions of the grant
The Inam investigation papers were called for back and as the papers did not show that the tenure was Agrahar tenure, petr was called upon to produce the original Sanad

Pet[n] has produced an authenticated Copy of the Firmaud which has been translated. The Translating clerk is of opinion that a certain word (see remarks) in the Firmaud indicates Afrahan tenure. From the Firmaud as translated, the Afrahan tenure cannot be said to be clearly indicated but this has to be considered in the reference to para 2 of the Govt order of 1880 for which states that the tenure shd be construed more from the general tenor of the Firmaud than from the presence or absence of specific words.

As the Inam Settlement took place in 1879 & the Govt order of 1880 (especially para 2) contemplated a reclassification (Afrahan & non-Afrahan), the Inam Commr's opinion seems to be necessary.

A draft is accordingly put up for approval. Submd to 2nd Counsellor

23/2/97.

Rd 26/2/97

(3)

The Inam Supt states that in his opinion the Village is not an Agahar.

Petrs pet[n] applying for a review of Govt order (sent here) & rejecting his first pet[n] has 5 ie disposed of.

Subd to 2nd Counsellor

WM 13/4/97

If I understand the Summons aright I should the hearing of the appeals this. Originally it was granted to one individual Subbachar in Sarva Inam. His son told it to others who established themselves apparently as members of an agrahara — Subsequently under various circs a doubt was raised on the appeal & it was again reduced. This is the hearing of the appeals I am

Ref[d] Foot. No. 5189-90 of 7-1-80 Report
K 888

Under Wallace's
will I understand
I am appointed
Executor. The grant
from Court of Probate
does not complete
such a Executorship
was a personal
to a single individual
from whom however
his Estate is deriving
themselves as the
members of an apparent
Court the right to
exercise it in
his shares.
the absence of a specific
appointment from
God I fail to see how
God can interfere now
to confirm or continue
such Executorship or character
of the testator. The
Surveyor suffers right
and this appointment
is as much

28/4/97

Draft letter
M 28/4/97

Draft letter
for
15/97

5

1896

No. 2982

Name of Petitioner, Hajee Kolli Lebbai Rowther

Village, Nagapattinam

Taluk, Nagapattinam

District,

Date of Arzi, 20-2-96

Date of Receipt, 21-2-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

This Page is printed in place of Rs. 2 Court Fee Stamp

77. L.R. 130-95-1

Submitted.

6/3 Sd/ [illegible]

3-3-96

To Dy Collr
Nagapattinam
4/3/96

C.
5-96

Drafted
6-3-96

ABSTRACT.

[illegible]

Ref 1635 Enclosure

L.R. 20-0292 draft 9-3-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

L.R. 130-95-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition Registered No. 2982 dated 20-2-96 received at the Dewan's Office from Hoskote Subba Rao residing in Akkipeta Bangalore complaining that Krishna Rao and Narayana Rao Vrittidars of Mardipura Agrahar Doddaba pur have disposed of their Vritti by sale to one Papa Reddy a Sudra contrary to rules and praying for cancellation the Sale

is forwarded, together with its ~~enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition ~~with its enclosure~~ should be returned.

By order,

draft

for ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

BANGALORE,
6-3-1896

6-3-96

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

8

Gen. Serial No. 30.]



1895-96

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Govt
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Rev & Genl Department.

Dated 23rd } June 1896.
Despatched 24th }

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No.
No. 1225

In reply to Secy's Mem No. 1635 Pet
d/6th March last.

SUBJECT.

Petition of Hoskote Subba Rau, complaining that some Pattidars of Nandi-durg, Doddaballapur taluk have sold their Pattis to a non-Brahman contrary to rules.

Petition Marked

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Submitted with reference to the 2nd Council order on file No. 2382.
A.P.
24/8/96

Under Pattis are reserved for Brahmans & others will be sold by public auction
14.7.96

Asst Secy
15/7
Dy Secy

Noted.
Asst Secy
26/7/96

L.R. 130-95-3

O. No. 1225.
En: 1 file

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District

9

Dated 23rd June 1896.

(3)

From the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To the Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Rev & Gen: Depts Bangalore.

Sir,

Referring to official Memo No Rev/1635 of the 6th March last forwarding for report the petition of Hosakote Subba Rao complaining that some Vrittidars of Mavalipura Doddballapur Taluk have sold their Vrittis to a non-Brahman contrary to rules, I have the honor to submit herewith the correspondence ending with the 1st General Assistant Commissioner's Yadi No. 7117 of the 28th Ultimo.

2. It will be seen from the above that the Inam is a personal one and has been enfranchised by the Inam Dept. The petitioner holds in Mortgage the Inam village of Mavalipura and that two Vrittidars Krishna Rao and Narayan Rao have sold their Vrittis to one Papa Reddi

3. It is impossible to restrict the incidents of property or to arrest the pauperism of the Agrahardar Brahmans whatever may have been the conditions of the Grant originally, its enfranchisement by the Inam Dept. enables the holder to dispose of it to any body that can buy it, without reference to race, caste or religion.

4. The enclosure of the Memo under reply is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
[signature]
for Deputy Commissioner.

10

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
DATE 21-2-96

2982

ಅಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ- ಅಂಚೆಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವ ಸಮಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ-

ಕೆಳಸಹಿ ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ 15ನೇ ನವೆಂಬರ್ 1-1- ರಂದು

ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ 5-30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳು

ಅಂದು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವ ಸಮಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 8 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಹಾಕಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಅಂದೇ ಬಟವಾಡೆಯಾಗಿ

ಹೋಗುವುದೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಧಾರವಾಡ
20-2-96

Hubba Rao

12

సంఖ్య
2982

22-7

(4)

L.R. 130-95-4

References

2982 of 96

13

Rec'd 29 Oct.

1896

No. 645

Name of Petitioner, A. Nittoor

Village, Adityar

Taluk, Bangalore

District,

Date of Arzi, 15. 8. 96.

Date of Receipt, 15. 8. 96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure,

Walk

Engr. No. (A) 130-96-5

Sent on for perusal

Rec'd 21/8

Rec'd 25/8

Application for renewal of an order passed by the 2nd Ex. declining to interfere in the matter of alienation of certain wells by sale.

Unstamped; but a question of principle, as claiming direct[illegible] 26/8 W.R. Freeman, Satisfaction of Register Exam is also [illegible] is raised.

Refer to that made the date of the 1st Comm[illegible] Board's Lay + the Revenue Manual power of alienation are limited [illegible] Freeman, Secretariat to the above Rule [illegible] Government to per pages)

Re Mr. Freeman's para 3 of his letter, where he says that [illegible] of the Board decide the holder's rights of them & can [illegible] sell, create or, mortgage.

In the case decided by this it is stated that power of alienation within the limits allowed [illegible] be exercised.

Sent for

Ordered [illegible]

Calendar

Examined

Entered

Indexed

Copied

Compared

Sent

Returned

7.9.96

Abstract.

States that the petitioner is [illegible] praying against the alienation by petitioner of some of the trees in the opinion of [illegible] Bangalore Taluk, to [illegible] Right, a Zamindari, it is recited in the Board that, by Firman, it is reported that said trees were of the [illegible] encroachment Firman's that, Adityar, Right to the [illegible] the spot & let the [illegible] Petitioner has also been [illegible] the direction of the Revenue [illegible] that though the Firman is [illegible] the enfranchised one yet the

Copy of the Govt Prov: receipt
Cert- 2025189-90 97.1.80
Rev-885
bill shows that the written
orgtl- to be alienated, if
at all, to Zone Cert- Bhatinda.

Copy that on perusing
the same the Govt will be
pleased to cancel the
above alienation

C.M.K
19/8

Pet 435-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(6)

L.R. 130-95-6

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition

Registered No. 645 dated 15th August 1895

received at the Dewan's Office from

H. Subba Row of Nuncalan

complaining of the alienation

of certain villages in Podi

maralipura in Doddaballa

-pur Taluk ~~made~~ to non

-brahmins ~~as Inam~~ ~~of~~

is forwarded, together with its one enclosure, to the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ Supdt Inam Settlement in Mysore for submission of the ~~District~~ Inam investigation report ~~for submission of the entire proceedings~~ relating to ~~this~~ case ~~connected with the case~~.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Draft

for ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

BANGALORE,

189

11/9/96

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

submitted with reference to the Revenue Commissioner's order on the abstract of petition 64 of 1895-96 paper (5)

16

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 2271
Ct. No. 186
23 SEP 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

7

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 23rd September
Despatched
Received

Enclosure No. Nil Cancelled.

No. 36 — of 1896-97

In reply to Government Circular Memorandum No. 2[illegible] dated 12th instant, I have the honor to submit herewith the prescribed form of quarterly statement of Inam cases pending settlement in the Inam villages of Nanjangud, Kollegal, Hole Narsipur and Periyapatna taluks, and to request that they may be returned when no longer required.

2. The receipt of the Government Memorandum under reply is hereby acknowledged.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Rev
23/9/96

Rev 24/9

Referring

25/9/96

29/9
Chief Clerk
Madhu
29/9

Explanation may be made upon file and returned.

Rev
29/9

In the papers received herewith a statement of Inam cases pending settlement is submitted for the month of August 1896. The return is required to be submitted by the 10th of the following month. The statement for August 1896 was submitted on the 4th September 1896.

Submitted for information.

Rev
29/9

I consider any where that the lessee is absent a lessee. The argument that a tallier is paid when a tallier of the Forest dies allows the village to get lessee. Where an original Samudra case is there. let the Pat. produce their authority by an endorsement

Rev
4/10/96

Rec. Pala Bisnath 17/8/96

17

(5)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
RECEIPT DEPARTMENT
REGD. No. 6745-47
DATE 17-8-96

Enclosure
645
7-5-97

+ W.P. 5189-90
Dev. 885-
dt 7-1-80

15th August 1896. Habibbakas

Refer
bills
23.11

645 of 1896-97
& File Instructions.
Issue
24/11

24/11 to R 130-95-8

1896

No. 1538

Name of Petitioner Hosiote Subbaiah

Village residing at Arakeshetti

Taluk Bangalore

District

Date of Arzi 16-11-96

Date of Receipt 18-11-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to this office endorsement No. 645 of 24/11/96, I have to state that extension of time may be allowed to him for producing authenticated copies of the records required

Inform Petr with reference to Councillor's order on Dharm Supts No 368 22/9/96.

27/11/96

Received here 30/11/96 after the expiry of the time granted

22/12/96

Dispatch Petr's records

27/12

Records dispd 22.12.96

Bbn
20/12

Rec'd 18/11/96

YSORE DISTRICT OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
RECD. NO. 1538
DATE 18-11-96.

20

16-11-96.

No: 1538

(8)

21

L R 130-95-9

1897 (9)

No.	2520
Name of Petitioner	H. Subba Row
Village	Arle halli
Taluk	Bangalore
District	Bangalore
Date of Arzi	30-1-97
Date of Receipt	7-2-97
Date of Disposal	
No. of Enclosure	1

ABSTRACT.

In obedience to Govt Circ
No 1538 & 22-12-96, he hop
Wombat an authenti-
cated copy of the Firman
granted by His Late Highness
Sree Krishnaraj Wodair Bahadoor &
the Civil Dewan Dodabullapu
In this it is mentioned that
it relates to the Agrahara Vill
of Mavahally Jodi which
has been marked in red pencil

Prays that this may be
kindly perused and favou-
rable orders passed on his
prayer.

BQ.
6/2

References..
6 Dist. Ct.
P.C.

This is absolutely necessary
the Firman shd be translated
into Mahratta knowing
in our Branch who could
do? If not to Bu branch
that there may be such person &
in other branches

11/2/97

with a translation the
underlined in red pencil
Sanad (copy produced)
to show that the village
is a agrahar tenure

2/97

L.R. 130-95-10

Enclosures —

A copy of nirop (authenticated) in Mahratta characters —

Translation of the Nirop dated Sunday the 10th day of the bright fortnight of Kuja in the from Krishnaraj Wodayar Bahadur to Kalahasti

Translation

Do.

Nirop from Krishnaraj Wodayar Bahadur, the late Maharaja, to the Amildar or Ramaiah of Doddaballapur Talukdated Sunday the 10th of the bright fortnight of the month of Kuja in the year Subhanjithu (30th September 1824).

The village of Mavellipura with its Pakki village, Kumbaranhalli was in the enjoyment of Narayana pandit of Doddaballapur as Satvamanyam from time immemorial). In the year Dhurmathi, while in the absence of Narayana pandit the villages were given to Pandurunga Rao and Narayana Rao for a yearly of 102 Pagodas on complaint that the kuttuvali of the villages was 150 Pagodas the villages were attached and orders issued for leasing out the villages in the month of Shadha of the same year.

Meanwhile the Vrittidars of Mavellipura Agrahar, vizas

—char, Srinivasachar, Madhavarao Bhatt, Jayarao Bhatt, Pandurangarao and Shamrao Rao (Six in all) preferred a complaint as follows—

When Mallipura and the Dalki village of Kenabora halli were attached to Devanhally Th. the same were granted as sole Inam to Subba Bhatta son of Yellabhatta by the Pollegar, Bore gowda of Devanhally— Subsequently in the year of Chitrabhanu Subbarayabhatta son of the above Subba Bhatta sold the writtis to the ancestors of the above named Six persons for 1350 Pagodas (225 Pagodas from each) and the villages were in their enjoyment till as Saivamanyam till the year Khara. Subsequently when the villages were under attachment they were enjoying the same paying the lease to Court. In Dormathi while Devaraj Pandith came on circuit an enquiry was made regarding the enjoyment and the hutturale in the villages and the same were continued to

them on a Jodi of 102 Pagodas
from that time they were
paying the Jodi annually
and maintained themselves
also from the Huttuvali
when Poorniah went to
Bangalore Krishna Bhatta
and Narayana Bhatta of
Veranhally complained to
the Durbar that the villages
were sold by his cousin
Subbaraya Bhatta without their
knowledge; an enquiry was
held, the Sale deed examined
and it was found that the
Sale deed was effected with
the consent of the complainants
and that the villages were in the
enjoyment of the above named
six persons, hence the same
was confirmed to them —
Subsequently in Angerasa
the said two persons preferred
a false complaint to the Durbar
which was rejected — Doddaballa
Ram Narayana Bhatta in
the name of Narayana Pandit
complained twice to the Durbar
but failed in the attempt
with the intention of ruining
them the said Narayana Bhatta
complained that the Huttuvali
was 100 Pagodas and in
his complaint the villages

were

26

were again attached and ordered
to be leased out; that the
petitioners (six persons) both
the same on lease on a yearly
of 150 Pagodas as they did
not like to part with their
enjoyment; that they now
find that they are unable
to maintain themselves
on the and pay the enhanced
godi from the kattubadi
and praying that they
may be protected.

On the perusal of the above
it is found that the persons
above named six persons
are poor and in destitute
circumstances of livelihood
relief the amount of 25
Pagodas only 150 is remitted
so as to enable them to maintain
themselves, and the balance
of 125 Pagodas (Kanthirai)
should be paid by them to
Govt annually — no order
is necessary every year — the
villages are to be enjoyed
by them for ever after payment
of the godi of 125 (Kanthirai) Pago-
das every year —

(Signed) Krishnarajendra
Bahadur —
30th September 1827

His Highness's own writing in
Canarese below - (Translated)
"The villages may be given to
the above named six persons on
- - of Kanthirai 1 Pagoda

2520

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
RECD. NO. 2520/R
DATE 1-2-97

30-1-97.

A. Subba Rao

(11)

~~C/J~~

Pet 24-3-97 P
2/3-96 Enis - after margin.

(1439)

28

L R 130-95-11

Head Memorandum

(1) Pet^{n} No 2982 d 20/2/96 from H Subba Rao

(2) Letter No 925 d 23/6/96 from the Dy Comm^{r} Bangalore &c with Representation

(3) Pet^{n} No 645 d 15/8/96 from H Subba Rao with enclosures

(4) Inam Settlement papers of Jodi Inavattipura

(5) Written translated Copy of Firoop from the late Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadoor &c

The accompanying and marginally noted papers relating to the petition of H Subba Rao praying for the cancellation of the annexation of Jodi Inavattipura in the Doddaballapur taluk are forwarded to the Supt of Inam Settlements & Alienations, for report as to whether the village is held on Agrahara tenure as explained in G O No 5789-90/R 885 d 7th January 1880.

By order

Secy

28/2/97

23/3/97

23/3/97

(12)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O. No. 6546.
Pet 599
APR 97
1897
DEWAN'S OFFICE

29

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General & Revenue Department

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th } April
Received

No. 84 of 1896–97

Enclosure No. One bundle

Submits a report on the Complaint of A. Subba Rau Jodidar of Mavillapura village in the Doddaballapur Talukh.

Peta
6.4.97

DF 60 of 96 put up
AK
8/4.

Please parallel case on file and
8/4 open file & note

31

L. Dis. 13(1)-97/SC.

By order

Dy.C.

C

No. 9767/96 dt. 24.5.97 Forwarding (1648) Encl : V.fds

Copy forwarded to the
Town Bangalore District
with request.

By order.

Section Officer

dfm.
4/5/97